IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

OSCAR NUNN §
v. \$ CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:14cv48
GLENDA RAYNER, ET AL. \$

MEMORANDUM ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT

The Plaintiff Oscar Nunn, a prisoner of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Correctional Institutions Division proceeding *pro se*, filed this civil rights lawsuit under 42 U.S.C. §1983 complaining of alleged violations of his constitutional rights. This Court ordered that the case be referred to the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1) and (3) and the Amended Order for the Adoption of Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to United States Magistrate Judges.

Nunn complained about a use of force incident allegedly occurring on July 1, 2013. The Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment based on Nunn's failure to exhaust his administrative remedies as required by 42 U.S.C. §1997e. After review of the pleadings and the summary judgment evidence, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that the motion for summary judgment be granted and the lawsuit dismissed with prejudice.

Nunn received a copy of the Magistrate Judge's Report on August 16, 2016, but filed no objections thereto; accordingly, he is barred from *de novo* review by the district judge of those findings, conclusions, and recommendations and, except upon grounds of plain error, from appellate review of the unobjected-to factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted by the district court. *Douglass v. United Services Automobile Association*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*).

The Court has reviewed the pleadings in this cause and the Report of the Magistrate Judge.

Upon such review, the Court has determined that the Report of the Magistrate Judge is correct. See

United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir.), cert. denied, 492 U.S. 918, 109 S.Ct. 3243

(1989) (where no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is

"clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law."). It is accordingly

ORDERED that the Report of the Magistrate Judge (docket no. 23) is **ADOPTED** as the

opinion of the District Court. It is further

ORDERED that the Defendants' motion for summary judgment (docket no. 22) is

GRANTED and the above-styled civil action is **DISMISSED** with prejudice for failure to exhaust

administrative remedies. It is further

ORDERED that any and all motions which may be pending in this action are hereby

DENIED.

So Ordered and Signed

Sep 14, 2016

Ron Clark, United States District Judge

Pm Clark

2